

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/068,866	10/23/1998	KATSUAKIRA MORIWAKE	450108-4484	2773	
20999	7590 12/10/2001				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAM	EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	VENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		JOSEPH, T	JOSEPH, THOMAS J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

_¢,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/068,866	MORIWAKE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas J Joseph	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 I	November 2001 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 143-161 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>143-161</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 <i>October 1998</i></u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35.U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 143 161 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klingler (pat. # 5,404,316) and Langford (pat. # 5,206,929).

Claims 143 and 153 are rejected. Klingler demonstrates a method for processing clip data (fig. 5). This processing falls within the definition of editing. The claim language fails to provide an explanation of the type of clip editing intended by the applicant. Klingler teaches a user selecting editing, composing, or applying effects to clips (col. 16, lines 57 - 70). The special effects library describe herein teaches a method for applying effects to clips. The language of the claims fails to explain or define the type of editing, composing, or applying a special effect to plurality of clips. Klingler at least suggest or teach, "selectively performing at least one of editing, composing, or applying a special effect to said plurality of clips" as taught by the Applicant. Klingler teaches begin time clips and end time data (fig. 9, #152, #154). Klingler at least suggest or teach a "managing means for managing information pertaining to relations between the resultant clip and the plurality of clips, said information at lest indicating from which of said plurality of clips said resultant clip is

Art Unit: 2173

produced" as taught by the Applicant. Klingler teaches a window for managing and processing clip data (fig. 9). This window is a, "control means for controlling said plurality of modules based on said information managed by said managing means." Klinger teach a resultant clip because doing so directs the user to the clip wherein the result can be viewed and displayed (fig. 11). This preview window is a method wherein a result can be viewed and displayed.

Klingler fails to teach the use of modules. Langford teaches a plurality of modules information managing by said managing means (fig. 8; col. 6, lines 55 – 70). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the clip editing system taught by Klingler with the editing system using modules disclosed by Langford because doing so allows for assigning software operations to specific modular apparatuses.

Claims 144 and 154 are rejected. Klingler provides a directory access for accessing data related to the various clips, effects, etc. (fig. 15, #214, #216). Such buttons suggest the presences of a database for accessing event and clip data. Klingler at least teaches or suggests, "a managing means that includes a database for registering information for each of said plurality of clips" as taught by the Applicant.

Claims 145 and 155 are rejected. Klingler teach obtaining data from a source for viewing by a user (fig. 15, #211, #213). This is information indicating a second clip being produced from a first clip. Any type of copying of data is information indicating a second clip being produced from a first clip. The claim language does not require that

Art Unit: 2173

the said second clip be a subset of the first clip. The only requirement is that the second clip includes some data that is based on the data found in the first clip.

Claims 146 and 156 are rejected. Klingler teaches special effect operations and other editing functions (col. 16, lines 57 - 70). Such operations suggest a plurality of modules comprising, "an edit module for editing said plurality of clips, a composite module for composing said clips, and a special effect module for applying a special effect to said clips" as taught by the applicant.

Claim 147 is rejected. The technology taught or suggested in Klinger (fig. 15) by claim 146 represents the "display means for displaying a graphical user interface respectively representing said editing module, composite module, and said special effect module on a display" taught by the applicant. The Applicant fails to define the type of graphics or the type of display used in the displaying of these modules. The claim language provides no explanation regarding the display of the said modules and no indication whether the modules themselves are even to be displayed.

Claims 148 and 157 are rejected. Klinger teaches a preview area wherein the user can view resultant graphical data (fig. 15, #213, #154). Such a preview area is a method for providing "module identification information indicating which of said plurality of processing modules is used to duce said resultant clip" as taught by the Applicant.

Claims 149 and 158 are rejected. Klinger teaches a control means controlling said plurality of modules based on said information registered in said database (fig. 15, #214, #216). Any menu is a control means for controlling the information registered in

Art Unit: 2173

the database. The claim language fails to define or explain the type of control intended by the Applicant.

Claims 150 and 159 are rejected. The preview area taught by claim 148 and suggested by Klinger suggest or teach a control means that updates "content of a first resultant clip registered in the database, and updates content of resultant clips produced from the said resultant clip."

Claims 151 and 160 are rejected. Klingler demonstrates moving of effect data into a "movie" or other entity representing a clip (col. 10, lines 30 – 40). This operation essentially overwrites content of a first resultant clip registered in the database with content of a new resultant clip, and updates the content of resultant clips produced from said first resultant clip.

Claims 152 and 161 are rejected. Klingler teaches a control means storing said overwritten content of said first resultant clips produced from said first resultant clip (col. 10, lines 30 – 40). All data must be stored in the database.

Response to Amendment

3. The Applicant cancels claims 1 – 142 and adds claims 143 – 158. The Examiner objected to the drawings. This provides an appropriate response to the objection to the drawings; therefore, the said objection to the drawings is withdrawn. The Examiner objected to the specification due to issues found related to the abstract. The Applicant provides the appropriate response overcoming the said objection to the specification. The said objection to the specification is therefore withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2173

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J Joseph whose telephone number is 703-305-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on 703-308-3116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2173

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

tjj / U V December 6, 2001

> RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173